Perstorp Pension Plan

Implementation Statement: Scheme Year ended 31 March 2022

Introduction and purpose to this statement

This document is the Annual Implementation Statement ("the statement") prepared by the Trustees of the Perstorp Pension Plan (the "Plan") covering the Plan year from 1 April 2022 to 31 March 2023.

The purpose of this statement is to:

- set out the extent to which, in the opinion of the Trustees, the engagement policy under the Plan's Statement of Investment Principles ("SIP") has been followed during the year
- describe the voting behaviour by, or on behalf of, the Trustees over the year.

This Statement will be updated from time to time as the Trustees deem necessary.

Changes to the SIP over the Year to 31 March 2023

In November 2022, after taking detailed advice, the Trustees entered into a buy in policy for existing pensioner liabilities with Aviva Life & pensions UK Limited. In advance of the buy in, the Trustees undertook a review of the investment strategy and added two additional bond funds to better match the Plan's liabilities. A revised SIP dated October 2022 was formally adopted by the Trustees after consultation with Formica Limited ("the Company").

Subsequent review of and changes to SIP (post Plan year-end)

Since the end of the Plan year, in July 2023, the Trustees have entered into a buy in policy with Aviva for the deferred and remaining pensioner liabilities. A further review of the investment strategy was undertaken, resulting in the addition of a cash fund and the removal of all equity funds, to better match the Plan's non-buy in liabilities. Following consultation with the Company, a new SIP was signed on 28 June 2023 to move 15.8% into Cash and hold the remaining non-buy in assets in bonds. Following completion of the deferred buy in, a further review was undertaken with at least 90% of the allocation held as Cash and the remainder as bonds. The Trustees monitor the allocation regularly and take action as required.

Trustees' Engagement and Voting Policy

The Plan owns units in passively managed pooled funds in which it is invested but it does not own the underlying assets of the funds. The responsibility for exercising and directing engagement and voting rights acquired through the Plan's investments is delegated to the Investment Manager. All of the Plan's assets are held with Blackrock-

Due to the passive nature of the Plan's investments, the Trustees recognise that no material decisions are taken by the investment manager on the holdings to be included in the portfolio as they must retain asset holdings that are broadly in line with the relevant market index they are tracking. However, the Trustees expect that the Investment Manager where appropriate will engage with companies on matters such as performance, strategy, capital structure, management of actual or potential conflicts of interest risks, and environment, social and governance ('ESG') issues concerning the Plan's investments.

The Trustees have reviewed the engagement and the voting behaviour of the Investment Manager during the year from 1 April 2022 to 31 March 2023, for all equity investments within the Plan. Total equity investments accounted for approximately 15% of the Plan's assets during the year across a local and global portfolio of nine funds.

The nine funds making up the Equity Portfolio are listed below:

	Target Allocation %	
	1 April 2022	31 March 2023
Aquila Life UK Index Equity Index Fund	2.1	2.1
Aquila Life Currency Hedged US Equity Index Fund	2.1	2.1
Aquila Life US Index Equity Index Fund	2.2	2.2
Aquila Life Currency Hedged European Equity Index Fund	2.2	2.2
Aquila Life European Equity Index Fund	2.2	2.2
Aquila Life Currency Hedged Japanese Equity Index Fund	1.4	1.4
Aquila Life Japanese Equity Index Fund	1.4	1.4
Aquila Life Currency Hedged Pac Rim Equity Index Fund	0.7	0.7
Aquila Life Pac Rim Equity Index Fund	0.7	0.7
Total Equities as a % of total Plan assets.	15.0	15.0

Voting information on the Plan's bond funds is not provided since the vast majority of loan and debt securities do not come with voting rights.

Engagement Activity

On behalf of the Trustees the Investment Manager engages companies to provide feedback on their practices and inform their voting. The Investment Manager focuses on a range of issues that fall within each of the environmental, social and governance (ESG) categories to assess where there is potential for material long-term financial impact on a company's performance. The Investment Manager is signed up to the UK FRC Stewardship Code.

The Trustees have reviewed all engagement results and are comfortable that the Investment Manager has engaged appropriately with various companies, and that those engagements reflect the Trustees' expectations and are in line with the Plan's Statement of Investment Principles.

In Summary engagements were generally in line last year although governance was a more prominent theme while environmental themes were somewhat lower and social themes were up marginally as a percent of the total engagements.

Engagement Summary	1st April 2022 – 31st Mar 2023	1 st April 2021 – 31 st March 2022
Total Company Engagements	4,893	4,444
Number of Companies Engaged	3,016	2,739
Companies with Multiple Engagements	1,162	1,014
Engagement Themes %		
- Governance	50%	45%
- Social	22%	20%
- Environmental	28%	35%

Voting Process

The Trustees rely on the Investment Manager to vote on their behalf rather than having their own voting policy and process.

The Investment Manager's proxy voting guidelines are market-specific to ensure that account is taken of a company's unique circumstances by market, where relevant. The voting decision of the Investment Manager is informed through research and engagement as necessary. The engagement priorities are global in nature and are informed by the Investment Manager's observations of governance related and market developments, as well as through dialogue with multiple stakeholders, including clients. The Investment Manager may also update regional engagement priorities based on issues believed to impact the long-term sustainable financial performance of companies in those markets.

The Investment Manager determines which companies to engage directly with based on an assessment of the materiality of the issue for sustainable long-term financial returns and the likelihood of the engagement being productive. The Investment Manager has a set of voting guidelines that are used to assess a company's approach to corporate governance and the items on the agenda to be voted on at the shareholder meeting. The voting guidelines are applied pragmatically, taking into account a company's unique circumstances where relevant.

Voting patterns were similar to last year.

Voting Summary	1 st April 2022 – 31 st March 2023	1st April 2021 - 31st March 2022
Votable Meetings	3,163	3,223
Votable Proposals	41,062	41,035
Proposals Voted On	38,395	38,246
- Voted For	35,150 (91.55%)	34,764 (90.9%)
- Voted Against	2,812 (7.32%)	2,999 (7.84%)
- Abstained	347 (0.91%)	400 (1.05%)
- Withheld and other	86 (0.22%)	83 (0.21%)

The Trustees have reviewed the voting results including the significant votes below and are comfortable that the Investment Manager has voted appropriately, and in line with the Trustees' expectations.

Significant Votes

The Investment Manager highlights and publishes explanations and rationale for certain high-profile proposals at company shareholder meetings which are deemed significant votes. During the year there were 26 such occasions involving well-known companies. In some cases, the Investment Manager supported some of the Resolutions but in others the Investment Manager voted against the Resolutions. The Trustees have examined all instances where the Investment manager was engaged in significant votes and the Trustees support the Investment managers votes and rationale.

Examples of cases where the Investment manager voted for, against or abstained from proposals recommended by the company or other shareholders include:

Netflix Inc. (USA - Entertainment)

Meeting Date 2 June 2022

Key Resolutions: Item 1a: Elect Director Timothy Haley

Item 1d: Elect Director Ann Mather

Item 6: Advisory Vote to Ratify Named Executive Officers' Compensation Item 8: Report on Lobbying Payments and Policy (Shareholder proposal)

Key Topics: Corporate political activities, executive compensation

Board Recommendation: The board recommended shareholders vote FOR Items 1a, 1d, 6, AGAINST Item 8

Investment Manager Voted AGAINST Items 1a, 1d, 6 and voted FOR Item 8

Rationale:

Item 6: The Investment manager had concerns about the company's executive compensation practices, particularly the monthly flexibility for individuals to allocate any portion of their designated compensation amount toward stock options or cash. As a result, the Investment Manager did not support management's say on pay proposal.

Item 1a: The Investment manager also determined to not support the re-election of Director Timothy Haley, a member of the Compensation Committee.

Item 1d: The Investment manager did not support the re-election of Director Ann Mather because the Investment manager considered her to be overcommitted per the Investment Managers U.S. proxy voting guidelines. Mather serves on five public company boards, which the Investment manager believed may limit her ability to effectively fulfil her responsibilities on each board

Item 8: The Investment Manager supported this proposal because the Investment Manager believed that Netflix could make further progress on enhancing their disclosure of their corporate political activities.

Santos Limited (Australia - Energy)

Meeting Date 3 May 2022

Management proposal: Item 4: Advisory vote on climate change

Shareholder proposal(s): Item 8a: Special resolution – amendment to the Constitution

Item 8b: Ordinary resolution – capital protection Item 8c: Ordinary resolution – climate-related lobbying Item 8d: Ordinary resolution – decommissioning

Key Topics: Climate risk, corporate lobbying disclosure

Board Recommendation: The board recommends shareholders to vote FOR Item 4 and AGAINST Items 8a-8d

The Investment manager: Voted: FOR Item 4 and AGAINST Items 8a-8d

Rationale:

Item 4: Advisory vote on climate change (VOTED FOR) The Investment manager supported the management proposal seeking shareholder support for the company's approach to the energy transition, which is described in the company's 2022 Climate Change Report. The company's climate action plan, targets and disclosures are consistent with what the Investment Manager looks for and, in the Investment Managers assessment, demonstrates management and board responsiveness to shareholder feedback. Accordingly, the Investment Manager believes it is in the interests of long-term shareholders to support the proposal to approve the Climate Change Report.

Item 8a: Special resolution – amendment to the Constitution (VOTED AGAINST) The Investment manager is generally not supportive of constitutional amendment resolutions and voted AGAINST the special resolution to amend Santos Ltd.'s constitution.

Item 8b: Ordinary resolution – capital protection (VOTED AGAINST) The Investment manager did not support the resolution as it is overly prescriptive and risks unduly restricting management's ability to make business decisions.

Item 8c: Ordinary resolution – climate-related lobbying (VOTED AGAINST) the Investment manager did not support the resolution as, based on the Investment managers analysis, as it was determined to be overly prescriptive given that it seeks to direct the company's climate-related lobbying activities.

Item 8d: Ordinary resolution – decommissioning (VOTED AGAINST) The Investment manager did not support the resolution as, based on the Investment managers analysis it was found it to be overly prescriptive, risking unduly restricting management's ability to make business decisions

New World development Company (Hong Kong Real estate)

Meeting Date 22 November 2022

Key Resolution: Item 3(e): To re-elect Mr. Lee Luen-Wai, John as Director Key Topics: Board quality and effectiveness; diversity, equity, and inclusion Board Recommendation: The board recommended shareholders vote FOR item 3(e)

Investment manager: VOTED FOR item 3(e)

Rationale

The Investment Manager supported the re-election of Mr. Lee Luen-Wai, John, despite his long tenure on the board, because the Investment manager believed shareholders would be well served by an orderly renewal of the board, rather than replacing all four experienced INEDs at once. The Investment manager noted that NWD has been responsive to shareholder concerns, regarding the tenure and related independence of their INEDs and accordingly believed the company was moving in the right direction

Anthem Inc (USA Healthcare)

Meeting date 18 May 2022

Key Resolutions: Item 5: Shareholder Proposal to Prohibit Political Funding

Item 6: Shareholder Proposal Requesting a Racial Impact Audit and Report

Key Topics: Corporate political spending; diversity, equity and inclusion; company impacts on

people

Board Recommendation: The board recommended shareholders vote AGAINST items 5 and 6

Investment Manager: Voted AGAINST items 5 and 6

Rationale

Item 5: Shareholder Proposal to Prohibit Political Funding (VOTED AGAINST) On the Investment Managers assessment, Anthem has sufficient oversight processes and disclosures to allow investors to understand the company's policies and procedures – including board oversight – regarding corporate political contributions and activities. Further, the Investment Manager considered this proposal to be overly prescriptive and unduly constraining on the decision-making of the board and management.

Item 6: Shareholder Proposal Requesting a Racial Impact Audit and Report (VOTED FOR) The Investment manager supported this shareholder proposal because, in the Investment managers view, undertaking the requested audit could help Anthem identify and mitigate potential material risks of the company's operations on internal and external stakeholders – including the more than 45 million customers served through Anthem's family of health plans, as well as the company's employees and supplier base serving these customers. In addition, the Investment manager did not believe the proposal was overly prescriptive or unduly constraining for management.

A complete list of all the companies involved where significant votes were recorded, and the full explanation of the issues involved (including the above) is listed below along with the link to the Investment Managers report regarding each significant vote:

- 1) Equinor: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-equinor-may-2022.pdf
- 2) Siemens: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-siemens-feb-2023.pdf
- Woodside Energy: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-
- 4) Santos Limited: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-santos-may-2022.pdf
- 5) Rio Tinto Ltd: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-rio-tinto-may-2022.pdf
- 6) New World development Corp: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-nwd-november-2022.pdf
- 7) Alphabet Inc: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/blk-vote-bulletin-alphabet-jun-2022.pdf
- 8) Exon Mobil Corp: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-exxonmobil-may-2022.pdf
- 9) Amazon.Com Inc: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/blk-vote-bulletin-amazon-may-2022.pdf
- 10) Meta Platforms Inc: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-meta-may-2022.pdf

- 11) Marathon Petroleum Corp: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-marathon-petroleum-apr-2022.pdf
- 12) Netflix Inc: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/blk-vote-bulletin-netflix-jun-2022.pdf
- 13) HCA Healthcare Inc: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-hca-healthcare-april-2022.pdf
- 14) Monster Beverage Corp: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-monster-beverage-june-2022.pdf
- 15) Anthem Health Inc: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-anthem-may-2022.pdf
- 16) Shell PLC: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-shell-may-2022.pdf
- 17) Glencore PLC: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-glencore-apr-2022.pdf
- Grupo Mexico SAB DE CV: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-grupo-mexico-april-2022.pdf
- 19) J Sainsbury PLC: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/blk-vote-bulletin-j-sainsbury-jul-2022.pdf
- 20) Warrior Met Coal Inc: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-warrior-met-coal-apr-2022.pdf
- 21) Barclays PLC: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-barclays-may-2022.pdf
- 22) Petroleo Brasiliro SA Petrobras: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-petrobras-april-2022.pdf
- 23) Sumitomo Mitsui Fonancial Group Inc: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/blk-vote-bulletin-smfg-jun-2022.pdf
- 24) Cogna Educacao SA: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-cogna-april-2022.pdf
- 25) Ocado Group PLC: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-ocado-may-2022.pdf
- 26) Grupo Financiero Banorte SAB DE CV: www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/press-release/vote-bulletin-banorte-april-2022.pdf